

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

## Bridging the Attainment Gap: Equity and Inclusion in UK Education

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Godwin Moke, AMERIN<sup>2</sup> & Bassey Ekanem<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1&3</sup>Moray House School of Education

University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>University of Uyo, Nigeria

**Corresponding Author:** biggiemoney98@gmail.com

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17347444>

**Citation:** Abdulazeez, R., Moke, G., & Ekanem, B. (2025). Bridging the Attainment Gap: Equity and Inclusion in UK Education. *Transnational Journal of Education and Scientific Development*, 1(1).

### Abstract

*The attainment gap remains one of the most persistent challenges in the United Kingdom's education system, with significant implications for social mobility, equality of opportunity, and long-term economic outcomes. Despite sustained policy interventions, disparities in educational outcomes between pupils from advantaged and disadvantaged backgrounds, as well as across ethnic, regional, and gender lines, continue to widen. This article critically examines the structural, socio-economic, and institutional factors that underpin the attainment gap, drawing on empirical evidence from primary, secondary, and higher education contexts. It evaluates the effectiveness of existing government strategies, including the Pupil Premium, targeted funding mechanisms, and widening participation initiatives, while also considering the role of schools, teachers, and communities in fostering inclusive educational practices. The analysis highlights how digital access, teacher retention, and curriculum reform intersect with issues of inequality, particularly in*

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

*the wake of COVID-19 disruptions. The paper argues for a holistic, multi-stakeholder approach to educational reform that prioritises equity, evidence-based practices, and sustainable policy innovation. Ultimately, bridging the attainment gap requires not only addressing immediate barriers to learning but also reimagining the broader purposes of education in the UK to ensure fairness, inclusivity, and resilience for future generations.*

## Keywords:

### Introduction

Educational inequality in the United Kingdom has long been recognised as a pressing concern, with the attainment gap consistently shaping policy debates, research agendas, and public discourse. The attainment gap, often defined as the disparity in academic achievement between pupils from disadvantaged socio-economic backgrounds and their more advantaged peers, remains a significant issue in schools and higher education (Department for Education [DfE], 2020). It also manifests across ethnicity, gender, region, and special educational needs, reflecting the complex and layered nature of inequality in education (Strand, 2014; Francis & Hutchings, 2013).

Over the past two decades, successive UK governments have introduced a range of initiatives to reduce educational disparities. Interventions such as the Pupil Premium, Sure Start, and widening participation strategies in higher education have targeted under-represented groups with varying levels of success (Gorard, 2010; Education Policy Institute [EPI], 2017). While these initiatives have produced measurable gains in some contexts, evidence indicates that the attainment gap persists and, in certain areas, has widened, particularly following the COVID-19 pandemic, which amplified pre-existing inequalities (EEF, 2021; Andrew et al., 2020).

The implications of the attainment gap extend beyond the classroom. Research consistently shows that disparities in educational outcomes are closely linked to differences in lifetime earnings, employment opportunities, health, and social mobility (Sutton Trust, 2019; Blanden et al., 2005). In this sense, bridging the attainment gap is not only an educational imperative but also a socio-economic one, influencing the broader cohesion and productivity of society.

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

This article critically examines the attainment gap in the UK, drawing on contemporary literature, government reports, and policy analysis. It explores the structural, socio-economic, and institutional drivers of educational inequality and evaluates the effectiveness of existing interventions. The paper argues for a comprehensive, multi-stakeholder approach that integrates evidence-based practice with long-term policy innovation, ensuring that education functions as a genuine vehicle for equity and opportunity in the twenty-first century.

## Literature Review

The attainment gap in the United Kingdom has been extensively researched, with a growing body of literature highlighting its persistence, underlying causes, and implications for policy and practice. A dominant theme in the literature is the strong association between socio-economic status and educational outcomes. Children from lower-income households consistently achieve lower levels of academic attainment compared to their more advantaged peers, a trend observed from early years through to higher education (Sutton Trust, 2019; DfE, 2020). Blanden, Gregg, and Macmillan (2007) argue that educational inequality in the UK is among the highest in the developed world, with social background exerting a disproportionate influence on life chances.

Ethnicity has also emerged as an important dimension in discussions of the attainment gap. Strand (2014) demonstrates that while some ethnic minority groups, such as Chinese and Indian pupils, outperform the national average, others, including Black Caribbean and certain White working-class groups, remain significantly disadvantaged. Intersectional analyses further highlight how ethnicity interacts with gender and socio-economic background to create nuanced patterns of underachievement (Gillborn et al., 2012). These findings challenge policy approaches that adopt a one-size-fits-all framework and emphasise the need for targeted interventions.

Regional inequalities constitute another strand of the literature. The Education Policy Institute (2017) reports that disadvantaged pupils in London perform substantially better than their counterparts in other regions, particularly coastal and former industrial areas. This “London effect” has been attributed to a combination of improved teacher quality, strong leadership, and targeted investment in urban schools (Blanden et al., 2015). However, critics note that the success of London schools cannot be easily replicated nationwide due to structural and contextual differences (Gorard, 2010).

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

Policy evaluations provide further knowledge on the challenges of addressing the attainment gap. The Pupil Premium, introduced in 2011, has been widely studied as a mechanism for directing resources towards disadvantaged pupils. While some studies suggest it has contributed to improvements in pupil progress (Ofsted, 2013), others argue its impact has been uneven and insufficient to close the gap significantly (EPI, 2017; Hutchings et al., 2019). Similarly, widening participation initiatives in higher education have increased access for under-represented groups, yet disparities in outcomes such as degree classification and graduate employment remain (Boliver, 2016).

The COVID-19 pandemic has added a new dimension to the literature. Studies reveal that school closures disproportionately affected disadvantaged pupils due to limited access to digital resources, parental support, and conducive learning environments (Andrew et al., 2020; EEF, 2021). The pandemic is thus widely regarded as having deepened pre-existing inequalities, raising urgent questions about the resilience of the education system in times of crisis.

Overall, the literature underscores the complexity of the attainment gap, which is shaped by a combination of socio-economic, ethnic, regional, and institutional factors. It also reveals that while policy initiatives have achieved partial success, structural inequalities continue to undermine progress. This review emphasises a multi-dimensional, evidence-based approach that combines targeted interventions with broader reforms addressing the social determinants of education.

## Methodological Framework

This study uses a conceptual and desk research technique, synthesising secondary data, policy papers, and peer-reviewed academia to critically examine the achievement gap in the United Kingdom. This technique, in contrast to empirical research that depends on primary data collection via surveys, interviews, or classroom observations, utilises existing evidence, facilitating the amalgamation of varied viewpoints and results within the education sector (Snyder, 2019). The choice to implement a desk-based technique is warranted for three reasons. The achievement gap is a thoroughly established phenomenon, supported by considerable statistical data from government entities such as the Department for Education (DfE, 2020), Ofsted (2013), and the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF, 2021). These databases offer strong indications of temporal patterns and trends, especially for socio-economic disadvantage, ethnicity,

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

and geographical variance. A substantial body of academic literature examines the structural and institutional factors contributing to educational inequality in the UK (Blanden et al., 2007; Strand, 2014; Boliver, 2016). Integrating these inputs facilitates a more thorough comprehension of the topic than any individual statistic or field investigation could provide. Ultimately, conceptual analysis allows for a critical assessment of the efficacy of policy measures, like the Pupil Premium and programmes aimed at broadening participation, considering both their stated objectives and actual results (EPI, 2017; Hutchings et al., 2019). The procedure of analysis followed an organised theme review. Sources were selected based on their academic repute, policy significance, and empirical rigour. Government reports and policy papers were prioritised for their official status and statistical correctness, while peer-reviewed journal articles and think tank reports were included for their analytical depth and theoretical contributions. Principal themes, namely socio-economic inequalities, ethnic and regional variances, and the ramifications of COVID-19, were inductively derived from the literature and subsequently employed to structure the debate and policy analysis. By synthesising information from many areas, this conceptual framework allows the article to uncover both the persistence of structural disparities and the limits of present treatments. While it does not reveal fresh empirical discoveries, its power comes in critically integrating multiple strands of knowledge to advise future policy and research directions.

## **Policy Analysis and Discussion**

Over the past two decades, successive UK governments have implemented a range of policy measures aimed at narrowing the attainment gap. While some interventions have shown modest success, evidence suggests that structural inequalities remain entrenched and continue to undermine progress. This section evaluates key initiatives, with attention to their achievements, limitations, and wider implications.

### **The Pupil Premium**

The Pupil Premium is one of the most significant policy instruments targeted at disadvantaged pupils in England. It was introduced in 2011. This instrument allocates additional funding to schools based on the number of pupils eligible for free school meals (FSM). Ofsted (2013) reported early examples of schools using the funding effectively to provide targeted support, such as small-group tuition and pastoral

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

interventions. However, subsequent research indicates mixed results. Gorard (2010) and the Education Policy Institute (2017) argue that while the Pupil Premium has supported incremental improvements, it has not substantially reduced the overall attainment gap. Moreover, disparities in how schools allocate and evaluate the funding raise questions about accountability and effectiveness (Hutchings et al., 2019).

### **Sure Start and Early Years Interventions**

Sure Start Children's Centres, launched in 1998, sought to reduce disadvantage by providing integrated services for families with young children. Evaluations suggest that Sure Start had positive effects on parenting and child development, particularly in deprived areas (Melhuish et al., 2008). Yet funding reductions since 2010 have curtailed its reach, limiting its long-term impact (Smith et al., 2018). Given the evidence that early years interventions are among the most cost-effective strategies for reducing educational inequality (Heckman, 2011), the scaling back of Sure Start represents a missed opportunity to address disparities before they become entrenched.

### **Widening Participation in Higher Education**

In the higher education sector, widening participation initiatives have aimed to improve access for under-represented groups. Policies such as outreach programmes, contextual admissions, and financial support have increased enrolment rates for disadvantaged students (DfE, 2020). However, Boliver (2016) highlights persistent disparities in admission to elite Russell Group universities, where students from disadvantaged and minority ethnic backgrounds remain under-represented. Furthermore, Sutton Trust (2019) notes that even when access is achieved, gaps in degree classification and graduate employment outcomes persist, reflecting the ongoing influence of socio-economic background.

### **Regional Disparities and the “London Effect”**

Regional inequalities continue to shape educational outcomes across the UK. The Education Policy Institute (2017) found that disadvantaged pupils in London significantly outperform their peers in other regions, a phenomenon often referred to as the “London effect”. Blanden et al. (2015) attribute this to targeted investments, higher teacher quality, and stronger school leadership. However, attempts to replicate this success in other regions have been less effective, largely because structural challenges,

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

such as economic deprivation and limited school capacity, are deeply embedded in local contexts (Gorard, 2010).

## **The COVID-19 Pandemic and Digital Inequality**

The COVID-19 pandemic has magnified existing inequalities, with disadvantaged pupils disproportionately affected by school closures and remote learning. Andrew et al. (2020) report that children from higher-income families received more hours of online instruction and greater parental support than those from lower-income households. The Education Endowment Foundation (2021) similarly concluded that the pandemic widened the attainment gap, particularly in literacy and numeracy. These findings highlight the central role of digital access and home learning environments in contemporary education, raising urgent questions about how future policy can address digital inequality as a determinant of attainment.

## **Discussion**

Taken together, these policies and developments reveal a mixed record of progress. While targeted interventions such as the Pupil Premium and widening participation schemes have delivered modest gains, they have not eliminated entrenched disparities linked to poverty, ethnicity, and geography. The rollback of comprehensive early years provision like Sure Start has undermined efforts to intervene at the most critical developmental stage, while regional disparities persist despite evidence of successful models in London. The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the fragility of progress, demonstrating how external shocks can exacerbate long-standing inequalities.

The discussion underscores that addressing the attainment gap requires a holistic, multi-stakeholder approach. This includes sustained investment in early years provision, greater accountability in the use of targeted funding, improved access to digital resources, and policies that recognise the intersectionality of disadvantage. Ultimately, without systemic reforms that address the socio-economic determinants of education, incremental policies will continue to fall short of closing the gap.

## **Conclusion and Recommendations**

The attainment gap remains one of the most significant challenges facing the education system in the United Kingdom. Despite decades of reform and a range of targeted interventions, disparities in educational achievement linked to socio-economic background, ethnicity, geography, and special educational needs persist. Evidence from

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

government reports, independent evaluations, and academic research demonstrates that while policies such as the Pupil Premium, Sure Start, and widening participation initiatives have yielded incremental progress, they have not fundamentally altered the structural inequalities that underpin educational disadvantage (EPI, 2017; Boliver, 2016; Smith et al., 2018).

The persistence of these disparities highlights the need for a more holistic approach that recognises the interdependence of education, social policy, and economic development. Narrow, short-term initiatives cannot substitute for comprehensive reforms that address the broader determinants of attainment, including poverty, housing, health, and access to digital resources. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, exposed the fragility of progress and underscored the urgency of ensuring resilience and equity in education (Andrew et al., 2020; EEF, 2021).

Based on the synthesis of evidence, this research advances the following recommendations:

- 1. Strengthen Early Years Provision:** Reinvesting in comprehensive early childhood programmes such as Sure Start, alongside expanding high-quality childcare, would provide a strong foundation for disadvantaged children. Research consistently shows that early interventions have the highest returns in reducing inequality (Heckman, 2011; Melhuish et al., 2008).
- 2. Enhance the Accountability and Use of the Pupil Premium:** Schools should be supported and held accountable for evidence-based deployment of Pupil Premium funding, with stronger monitoring of impact and guidance from independent evaluators such as the Education Endowment Foundation.
- 3. Address Regional Inequalities:** Policymakers must tailor strategies to specific regional contexts. The “London effect” demonstrates that investment, leadership, and teacher quality can make a difference (Blanden et al., 2015), but replication must consider structural economic disparities in coastal and post-industrial areas.
- 5. Reduce Digital Inequalities:** Ensuring universal access to digital resources and training is essential in a technology-driven learning environment. The digital divide highlighted during the pandemic shows that equitable access to technology is now a prerequisite for educational equality (EEF, 2021).

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

6. **Reform Higher Education Access and Outcomes:** Widening participation should not stop at admissions. Universities must also focus on retention, degree outcomes, and graduate employability to ensure that disadvantaged students achieve equitable long-term results (Boliver, 2016; Sutton Trust, 2019).
7. **Adopt a Whole-Society Approach:** Bridging the attainment gap requires cross-sector collaboration between schools, families, communities, and policymakers. Addressing poverty, housing, and healthcare alongside education policy will create an enabling environment for equity.

In conclusion, the evidence demonstrates that while targeted interventions can make a measurable difference, the attainment gap in UK education is deeply rooted in broader social and economic structures. Reducing this disparity demands not only sustained investment in schools but also systemic reforms that tackle the social determinants of educational inequality. Without such comprehensive action, the attainment gap will continue to constrain the life chances of disadvantaged pupils, limit social mobility, and undermine the potential of education as a driver of fairness and opportunity in the United Kingdom.

## References

- Andrew, A., Cattan, S., Costa-Dias, M., Farquharson, C., Kraftman, L., Krutikova, S., Phimister, A., & Sevilla, A. (2020). Learning during the lockdown: Real-time data on children's experiences during home learning. Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS). <https://ifs.org.uk/publications/learning-during-lockdown>
- Blanden, J., Greaves, E., Gregg, P., Macmillan, L., & Sibieta, L. (2015). Understanding the improved performance of disadvantaged pupils in London. Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission.
- Blanden, J., Gregg, P., & Machin, S. (2005). Intergenerational mobility in Europe and North America. Centre for Economic Performance.
- Blanden, J., Gregg, P., & Macmillan, L. (2007). Accounting for intergenerational income persistence: Noncognitive skills, ability and education. *Economic Journal*, 117(519), C43–C60. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2007.02034.x>

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem

- Boliver, V. (2016). Exploring ethnic inequalities in admission to Russell Group universities. *Sociology*, 50(2), 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038515575859>
- Department for Education (DfE). (2020). Progress in reducing the attainment gap in England. London: DfE. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications>.
- Education Endowment Foundation (EEF). (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on attainment. London: EEF. <https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk>
- Education Policy Institute (EPI). (2017). Closing the gap? Trends in educational attainment and disadvantage. London: EPI. <https://epi.org.uk/publications-and-research/closing-the-gap>
- Francis, B., & Hutchings, M. (2013). Parent power? Using money and information to boost children's chances of educational success. Sutton Trust.
- Gillborn, D., Rollock, N., Vincent, C., & Ball, S. J. (2012). “You got a pass, so what more do you want?” Race, class and gender intersections in the educational experiences of the Black middle class. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 15(1), 121–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13613324.2012.638869>
- Gorard, S. (2010). Serious doubts about school effectiveness. *British Educational Research Journal*, 36(5), 745–766. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920903144147>
- Heckman, J. J. (2011). The economics of inequality: The value of early childhood education. *American Educator*, 35(1), 31–47.
- Hutchings, M., Francis, B., & De Vries, R. (2019). The impact of the Pupil Premium on schools and pupils. Education Endowment Foundation.
- Melhuish, E., Belsky, J., Leyland, A. H., Barnes, J., & the National Evaluation of Sure Start Research Team. (2008). Effects of fully-established Sure Start local programmes on 3-year-old children and their families living in England: A quasi-experimental observational study. *Lancet*, 372(9650), 1641–1647. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61687-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61687-6)
- Ofsted. (2013). The pupil premium: How schools are spending the funding successfully to maximise achievement. London: Ofsted.

# T J E S D

TRANSNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC DEVELOPMENT

---



A PUBLICATION OF WORD ASTRA  
wordastrajournals@gmail.com  
www.wordastrajournals.com

Volume 1 Issue 1, September 2025 - ISSN: 3092-9431

*Rashidatu Abdulazeez, PhD; Godwin Moke, AMERIN  
& Bassey Ekanem*

---

- Smith, G., Sylva, K., Smith, T., Sammons, P., & Omonigho, A. (2018). Stop Start: Survival, decline or closure? Children's centres in England, 2018. Sutton Trust.
- Strand, S. (2014). Ethnicity, gender, social class and achievement gaps at age 16: Intersectionality and 'getting it' for the White working class. *Research Papers in Education*, 29(2), 131–171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2013.767370>
- Sutton Trust. (2019). *Elitist Britain 2019: The educational backgrounds of Britain's leading people*. Sutton Trust and Social Mobility Commission. <https://www.suttontrust.com>